Securing Downlink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems by Trusted Relays

Ahmed Arafa¹ Wonjae Shin^{2,1} Mojtaba Vaezi³ H. Vincent Poor¹

¹Electrical Engineering Department, Princeton University, USA ²Department of Electronics Engineering, Pusan National University, South Korea ³Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Villanova University, USA

12/10/2018



- NOMA techniques offer solutions to spectrum scarcity and congestion problems.
- Key feature: efficient utilization of available resources serving multiple users *simultaneously* over the same resource: frequency, time, code, or space.
- Vulnerable to eavesdropping (wireless communications inherent openness).
- How to provide security guarantees with multiple interfering users?

Security at the Physical Layer



- Traditionally a higher-layer issue: encryption, key distribution...
- Might be insufficient with the increasing computational powers of adversarial nodes/eavesdroppers.



- Physical layer security provides security by exploiting the imperfections in the physical communication channel: noise, fading, interference...
- Joint encoding for security and reliability.

Physical Layer Security for NOMA—Related Works

- SISO secrecy sum rate maximization: [Zhang Wang Yang Ding '16].
- Large-scale security for downlink: [liu Qin Elkashlan Gao Hanzo '17]; and uplink: [Gomez Martin-Vega Lopez-Martinez Liu Elkashlan '17].
- NOMA-assisted multicast-unicast streaming: [Ding Zhao Peng Poor '17].
- MIMO secrecy sum rate: [Tian Zhang Zhao Li Qin '17].
- One user is untrusted with MISO: [Li Jiang Zhang Li Qin '17]; and MIMO: [Jiang Li Zhang Li Qin '17].
- Transmit antenna selection: [Lei Zhang Park Xu Ansari Pan Alomair Alouini '17].
- Secrecy rate maximization with outage probability constraints: [He Liu Yang Lau '17].



• BS uses superposition coding to send two messages to the legitimate users:

$$x = \sqrt{\alpha P} s_1 + \sqrt{\bar{\alpha} P} s_2$$

- Strong user decodes both messages using successive interference cancellation.
- Weak user decodes its message by treating interference as noise.
- An external eavesdropper wiretaps the communication.



• Secrecy capacities of this multi-receiver wiretap channel [Ekrem-Ulukus '11]:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1} &= \left[\log \left(1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_1|^2 \alpha P \right) - \log \left(1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_e|^2 \alpha P \right) \right]^+ \\ r_{s,2} &= \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_2|^2 \bar{\alpha} P}{1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_2|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_e|^2 \bar{\alpha} P}{1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_e|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) \right]^+ \end{split}$$

This Paper: Employing Trusted Relays to Secure a NOMA Downlink...



• How can a number of *trusted cooperative* relays enhance the secrecy rate region?



- Channels are complex-valued, fixed, and known. Noise is $\sim C\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.
- K relays, half-duplex, trusted, and cooperative.
- Each node is equipped with a single-antenna (SISO).
- BS reduces its power to \bar{P} ; relays share the remaining $P \bar{P}$.
- Three relaying schemes: cooperative jamming, decode-and-forward and amplify-and-forward.

Relaying Scheme 1: Cooperative Jamming



- Relays transmit a jamming signal Jz simultaneously with the BS's transmission.
- $z \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1)$; $J \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$ is a beamforming vector.
- Jamming signal should not affect the legitimate users:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_1 & \boldsymbol{g}_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o \triangleq \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Without relays (direct transmission):

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1} &= \left[\log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{1}|^{2}\alpha P\right) - \log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P\right)\right]^{+}\\ r_{s,2} &= \left[\log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\alpha P}\right) - \log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P}\right)\right]^{+} \end{split}$$

• With cooperative jamming:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + |h_{1}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \\ r_{s,2}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{2}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{2}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \end{split}$$

Best beamforming vector:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\boldsymbol{J}_o} & \left|\boldsymbol{g}_e^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{J}_o\right|^2\\ \text{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{J}_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\\ & \boldsymbol{J}_o^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{J}_o = P - \bar{P} \end{array}$$

$$\hat{J}_{o} = \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}}{\left\|\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}\right\|}\sqrt{\boldsymbol{P}-\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}}$$

•
$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\cdot)$$
 is a projection matrix:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G}) riangleq \boldsymbol{I}_{K} - \boldsymbol{G} \left(\boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{G}
ight)^{-1} \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger}$$

• With cooperative jamming:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + |h_{1}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \\ r_{s,2}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{2}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{2}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \end{split}$$

Best beamforming vector:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{J_o} & \left| \boldsymbol{g}_e^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o \right|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \boldsymbol{J}_o^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o = \boldsymbol{P} - \boldsymbol{\bar{P}} \end{array}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}_{o} = rac{\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}}{\left\|\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}\right\|}\sqrt{P-\bar{P}}$$

• $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\cdot)$ is a projection matrix:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G}) riangleq \boldsymbol{I}_{K} - \boldsymbol{G} \left(\boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{G}
ight)^{-1} \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger}$$

• With cooperative jamming:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + |h_{1}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \\ r_{s,2}^{J} &= \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{2}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{2}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P}} \right) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{e}|^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{e}|^{2} \alpha \bar{P} + |g_{e}^{\dagger} J_{o}|^{2}} \right) \right]^{+} \end{split}$$

• Unique solution:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}_{o} = \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}}{\left\|\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{g}_{e}\right\|}\sqrt{P-\bar{P}}$$

•
$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\cdot)$$
 is a projection matrix:
 $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\mathbf{G}) \triangleq \mathbf{I}_{K} - \mathbf{G} \left(\mathbf{G}^{\dagger}\mathbf{G}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\dagger}$

• Best beamforming vector:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\boldsymbol{J}_o} & \left| \boldsymbol{g}_e^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o \right|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{G}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \boldsymbol{J}_o^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{J}_o = \boldsymbol{P} - \bar{\boldsymbol{P}} \end{array}$$

~



• Communication occurs over two phases:

- Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
- Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector d ∈ C^K.
- In what order should the kth relay decode? Depends on operating point...
 - (1): strong user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}\right) \end{split}$$

• (2): weak user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}\right) \end{split}$$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector $d \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$.
- In what order should the kth relay decode? Depends on operating point...
 - (1): strong user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}\right) \end{split}$$

• (2): weak user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}\right) \end{split}$$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector $d \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$.
- In what order should the kth relay decode? Depends on operating point...
 - (1): strong user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}\right) \end{split}$$

• (2): weak user's message first: $R_{k,1}^{(2)} = \log \left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}\right)$ $R_{k,2}^{(2)} = \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}\right)$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector $d \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$.
- In what order should the kth relay decode? Depends on operating point...
 - (1): strong user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}\right) \end{split}$$

(2): weak user's message first: $R_{k,1}^{(2)} = \log \left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}\right)$ $R_{k,2}^{(2)} = \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}\right)$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector $d \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$.
- In what order should the kth relay decode? Depends on operating point...
 - (1): strong user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(1)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}\right) \end{split}$$

• (2): weak user's message first:

$$\begin{split} R_{k,1}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}\right) \\ R_{k,2}^{(2)} &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{r,k}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_{r,k}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}}\right) \end{split}$$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector $\boldsymbol{d} \in \mathbb{C}^{K}$.
- Eavesdropper overhears communication in both phases.
- Eliminate eavesdropping benefit in Phase 2:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{e}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{d}_{o}=0$$



- Communication occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays decode, forward toward users via superposition coding, and use a beamforming vector *d* ∈ C^K.
- Eavesdropper overhears communication in both phases.
- Eliminate eavesdropping benefit in Phase 2:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_e^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{d}_o=0$$

• Without relays (direct transmission):

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1} &= \left[\log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{1}|^{2}\alpha P\right) - \log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P\right)\right]^{+}\\ r_{s,2} &= \left[\log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\alpha P}\right) - \log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P}\right)\right]^{+} \end{split}$$

• With decode-and-forward:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_1^{DF} - \log \left(1 + |h_e|^2 \alpha \bar{P} \right) \right]^+ \\ r_{s,2}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_2^{DF} - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_e|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_e|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) \right]^+ \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} r_{1}^{DF} &= \min\left\{\log\left(1+|h_{1}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}\right) + \log\left(1+\left|\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}\right|^{2}\alpha\left(P-\bar{P}\right)\right), \min_{1\leq k\leq K}R_{k,1}^{(i)}\right\}\\ r_{2}^{DF} &= \min\left\{\log\left(1+\frac{|h_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\bar{P}}{1+|h_{2}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}}\right) + \log\left(1+\frac{|\mathbf{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\left(P-\bar{P}\right)}{1+|\mathbf{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}|^{2}\alpha\left(P-\bar{P}\right)}\right), \min_{1\leq k\leq K}R_{k,2}^{(i)}\right\} \end{split}$$

- Secrecy rates depend on decoding order (i), i = 1, 2, at the relays.
- Extra $\frac{1}{2}$ terms are due to sending same information over two phases.

• With decode-and-forward:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_1^{DF} - \log \left(1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \alpha \bar{P} \right) \right]^+ \\ r_{s,2}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_2^{DF} - \log \left(1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) \right]^+ \end{split}$$

where

$$r_{1}^{DF} = \min\left\{\log\left(1 + |h_{1}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}\right) + \log\left(1 + \left|\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}\right|^{2}\alpha\left(P - \bar{P}\right)\right), \min_{1 \le k \le K} R_{k,1}^{(i)}\right\} \\ r_{2}^{DF} = \min\left\{\log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\bar{P}}{1 + |h_{2}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\left(P - \bar{P}\right)}{1 + |\mathbf{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\mathbf{d}_{o}|^{2}\alpha\left(P - \bar{P}\right)}\right), \min_{1 \le k \le K} R_{k,2}^{(i)}\right\}$$

• Fix $0 \le \beta \le 1$.

• Unique solution:

• Proposed beamforming vector:
$$\hat{d}_o =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\boldsymbol{d}_{o}} & \beta \left| \boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} \right|^{2} + (1 - \beta) \left| \boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} \right|^{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{g}_{e}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} = 0 \\ & \boldsymbol{d}_{o}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_o = \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_e)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d}{\|\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_e)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d\|}$$

• $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d$: leading eigenvector of

 $\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_{e})\left(\beta\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\dagger}+(1-\beta)\boldsymbol{g}_{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_{e})$

• With decode-and-forward:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_1^{DF} - \log \left(1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \alpha \bar{P} \right) \right]^+ \\ r_{s,2}^{DF} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[r_2^{DF} - \log \left(1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{e}}|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) \right]^+ \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} r_{1}^{DF} &= \min\left\{\log\left(1 + |h_{1}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}\right) + \log\left(1 + \left|\boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{d}_{o}\right|^{2}\alpha\left(P - \bar{P}\right)\right), \min_{1 \leq k \leq K} R_{k,1}^{(i)}\right\} \\ r_{2}^{DF} &= \min\left\{\log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\bar{P}}{1 + |h_{2}|^{2}\alpha\bar{P}}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{d}_{o}|^{2}\bar{\alpha}\left(P - \bar{P}\right)}{1 + |\boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{d}_{o}|^{2}\alpha\left(P - \bar{P}\right)}\right), \min_{1 \leq k \leq K} R_{k,2}^{(i)}\right\} \end{split}$$

- Fix $0 \le \beta \le 1$.
- Proposed beamforming vector:

$$\begin{array}{l} \max_{\boldsymbol{d}_{o}} \quad \beta \left| \boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} \right|^{2} + (1 - \beta) \left| \boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} \right|^{2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{g}_{e}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} = 0 \\ \quad \boldsymbol{d}_{o}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{d}_{o} = 1 \end{array}$$

• Unique solution:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_o = rac{\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_e)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d}{\|\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_e)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d\|}$$

• $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_d$: leading eigenvector of

$$\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_{e})\left(\beta\boldsymbol{g}_{1}\boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\dagger}+(1-\beta)\boldsymbol{g}_{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)\mathcal{P}^{\perp}(\boldsymbol{g}_{e})$$

Relaying Scheme 3: Amplify-and-Forward



- Communication also occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays multiply their received signal y_r by a beamforming vector $a \in \mathbb{C}^K$ and forward to users.
- Eavesdropper overhears communication in both phases.
- Eliminate eavesdropping benefit in Phase 2:

 $\boldsymbol{g}_{e}^{\dagger} \mathtt{diag}(\boldsymbol{h}_{r}) \boldsymbol{a}_{o} = 0$

Relaying Scheme 3: Amplify-and-Forward



- Communication also occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays multiply their received signal y_r by a beamforming vector $a \in \mathbb{C}^K$ and forward to users.
- Eavesdropper overhears communication in both phases.
- Eliminate eavesdropping benefit in Phase 2:

 ${oldsymbol{g}_{e}}^{\dagger} { t diag}\left({oldsymbol{h}_{r}}
ight) {oldsymbol{a}_{o}} = 0$

Relaying Scheme 3: Amplify-and-Forward



- Communication also occurs over two phases:
 - Phase 1: BS broadcasts the messages to both relays and legitimate users.
 - Phase 2: Relays multiply their received signal y_r by a beamforming vector $a \in \mathbb{C}^K$ and forward to users.
- Eavesdropper overhears communication in both phases.
- Eliminate eavesdropping benefit in Phase 2:

 $\boldsymbol{g}_{e}^{\dagger} \mathrm{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{r}\right) \boldsymbol{a}_{o} = 0$

• Without relays (direct transmission):

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1} &= \left[\log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{1}|^{2}\alpha P\right) - \log\left(1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P\right)\right]^{+}\\ r_{s,2} &= \left[\log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{2}|^{2}\alpha P}\right) - \log\left(1+\frac{|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\bar{\alpha} P}{1+|\boldsymbol{h}_{e}|^{2}\alpha P}\right)\right]^{+} \end{split}$$

• With amplify-and-forward:

$$\begin{split} r_{s,1}^{AF} = & \frac{1}{2} \Biggl[\log \Biggl(1 + |h_1|^2 \alpha \bar{P} + \frac{\mathbf{a}_o^{\dagger} \mathbf{G}_{1,r} \mathbf{a}_o}{1 + \mathbf{a}_o^{\dagger} \mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{a}_o} \alpha \bar{P} \Biggr) - \log \left(1 + |h_e|^2 \alpha \bar{P} \right) \Biggr]^+ \\ r_{s,2}^{AF} = & \frac{1}{2} \Biggl[\log \Biggl(1 + \frac{|h_2|^2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + |h_2|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} + \frac{\mathbf{a}_o^{\dagger} \mathbf{G}_{2,r} \mathbf{a}_o \bar{\alpha} \bar{P}}{1 + \mathbf{a}_o^{\dagger} \mathbf{G}_{2,r} \mathbf{a}_o \alpha \bar{P}} \Biggr) - \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_e|^2 (1 - \alpha) \bar{P}}{1 + |h_e|^2 \alpha \bar{P}} \right) \Biggr]^+ \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{G}_{j,r} &\triangleq \operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{r}^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{g}_{j} \boldsymbol{g}_{j}^{\dagger} \operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{r}\right), \quad j = 1, 2\\ \boldsymbol{G}_{j} &\triangleq \operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}^{*}\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{j}\right), \quad j = 1, 2 \end{split}$$

• Extra $\frac{1}{2}$ terms are due to sending same information over two phases.

• Best beamforming vector for *j*th user:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{o}^{(j)} = \sqrt{\frac{P - \bar{P}}{\boldsymbol{u}_{a}^{(j)T} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{u}_{a}^{(j)}}} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{u}_{a}^{(j)}$$

where

$$oldsymbol{F} riangleq \mathcal{P}^{\perp}(ext{diag}(oldsymbol{h}_r)oldsymbol{g}_e) \ oldsymbol{A} riangleq (ext{diag}(oldsymbol{h}_r) ext{diag}(oldsymbol{h}_r)ar{P} + oldsymbol{I}_K)$$

• $\boldsymbol{u}_{a}^{(1)}$: leading generalized eigenvector of $\left(\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{G}_{1,r}\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{F}\left(\frac{1}{P-\bar{P}}\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\right)\boldsymbol{F}\right)$ $\left(\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{G}_{2,r}\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{F}\left(\frac{1}{P-\bar{P}}\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{G}_{2}+\boldsymbol{G}_{2,r}\alpha\bar{P}\right)\boldsymbol{F}\right)$

• Fix $0 \le \beta \le 1$. Proposed beamforming vector:

$$\hat{\pmb{a}}_o=eta \pmb{a}_o^{(1)}+(1-eta) \pmb{a}_o^{(2)}$$

Numerical Results—Setup



• Characterize the boundary of the secrecy rate region ($n \in \{J, DF, AF\}$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\alpha,\bar{P}} & \mu r_{s,1}^n + (1-\mu) r_{s,2}^n \\ \text{s.t.} & 0 \leq \bar{P} \leq P, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{array}$$

• K = 5 relays.

- Pick $\beta = \mu$ for decode-and-forward and amplify-and-forward beamforming vectors.
- Channel gain between two nodes: $h=\sqrt{1/l^{\gamma}}e^{j heta}$
 - I: distance between the two nodes.
 - γ : path loss exponent.
 - θ : uniform random variable on $[0, 2\pi]$.



• Dashed lines are when eavesdropper is in between BS and legitimate users; solid lines are when it is beyond them.



• Dashed lines are when eavesdropper is in between BS and legitimate users; solid lines are when it is beyond them.



• Dashed lines are when eavesdropper is in between BS and legitimate users; solid lines are when it is beyond them.

Conclusions



- Considered the relaying benefits on physical layer security of a two-user SISO downlink NOMA with an external eavesdropper.
- Take-away message: best relaying scheme depends on relative locations.
- Eextensions:
 - Full-duplex relays.
 - Eavesdropper's channel is unknown.
 - MIMO scenarios.
 - Untrusted relays (presented at Asilomar '18).
 - . . .